1	John and Jane Doe) AFFIDAVIT OF
2	Real Property Located:)
3	1234 Example Blvd Santa Cruz, NM 87525	JOSEPH R.ESQUIVEL JR
4)
5		
6	I, Joseph R. Esquivel Jr., declare as follo	ows:
7	1. I am over the age of 18 years and qualit	ried to make this affidavit. I am a resident of the State
8	of Texas and make this affidavit based on my	own personal knowledge. I have no direct or indirect
9	interest in the outcome of this case for which I am offering observations, analysis, opinions and	
10	testimony.	
11		
12	2. I perform my research through the viewing of actual business records and Corporate/Trust	
13	Documents. I use specialty licensed software ABS Net and other professional resources to view these	
14	records and documents. I have the training, knowledge and experience to perform these searches and	
15	understand the meaning of these records and documents with very reliable accuracy. I am available	
16	for court appearances, in person or via telephone for further clarification or explanation of the	
	information provided herein, or for cross exam	nination if necessary. I have examined the following
17	documents;	X ·
18		
19	A. Complaint filed into District Court Santa	a Fe County New Mexico case# 999-999-999
20	B. Note of John and Jane Doe in the amou	int of \$999,000.00
21	C. Recorded Mortgage pertaining to the	Note of John and Jane Doe in the amount of
22	\$999,999.00 made payable to New Cent	ury Mortgage Company
23	D. A document purporting to be an "Assign	iment of Mortgage" recorded January 20, 2010
24	E. A complete search of the Santa Fe Cour	ty Record pertaining to 1234 Any Street , Santa Cruz,
25	NM 87532	
26	F. An audio record supplied through the	Court Clerk of a December 1, 2011 and August 15,
27	2012 Hearing in case#999-999999-999	
1	1	

3. I have personal knowledge of the information retrieved from the terminals and experience to render opinions in the topic areas related the securitization of mortgage loans, derivative securities, the securities industry, real property law, Uniform Commercial Code practices, predatory lending practices, Truth in Lending Act requirements, loan origination and underwriting, accounting in the context of securitization and pooling and servicing of securitized loans, assignment and assumption of securitized loans, creation of trusts under deeds of trust, pooling and agreements, and issuance of asset backed securities and specifically mortgage-backed securities by special purpose vehicles in which an entity is named as trustee for holders of certificates of mortgage backed securities, the economics of securitized residential mortgages during the period of 2001-2008, appraisal fraud, and its effect on APR disclosure, usury, exceeding the legal limit for interest charged, foreclosure of securitized, non-securitized residential mortgages.

4. From many hours of study and research and formal training and reviewing thousands of mortgage documents, I learned that one procedure for funding is via mortgage securitization where such pools solicit funds from investors by means of a Pooling and Servicing Agreement (PSA) which was used to explain and govern the Mortgage Backed Security (MBS). The PSA is the governing document for the MBS pool which was typically established as a Trust. State trust laws uniformly demand that the governing documents of the Trust be strictly adhered to compliance with IRS taxing guidelines.

General Overview of Secured Transactions

5. Ownership of the intangible payment stream collected from a Mortgage Loan can be bought, sold and transferred. This transfer of ownership is evidenced through the sale of a certificate funded by payment stream(s) received from payments made upon what will be defined within this document as the "Obligation". Ownership of the Obligation via buying and selling the certificates (intangible payment stream) is allowable under the governance of UCC Article 9, as a Transferable Record. Transferred ownership can be seen though the financial record of the distributed payment stream. Transfer of ownership through certificates is an actual transfer of a partial ownership of a beneficial interest in the intangible payment stream of the Obligation.

- 6. The initial and subsequent certificate transactions involving the divided intangible payment stream of the Obligation does not transfer ownership of the Note and the Mortgage to the owners of the intangible payment stream. Transfer of ownership of the Note and the Mortgage would require that partial interest in the tangible instruments which secure the Obligation (Note and the Mortgage) be transferred/assigned to all and each of the potential multiple owners of the certificates compliant with the local laws of jurisdiction. That described transfer would be impossible. To create the appearance that the transfer of the partial interest of the tangible instruments has been accomplished, the transfer is made to a common Trustee. Any owner of the Obligation as a transferable record of the payment stream could be in jeopardy of stripping the Obligation away from the Note unless ownership of the Note is also obtained.
- 7. In the Commercial Money Ctr., Inc. bankruptcy, the Ninth Circuit Appellate Court had no difficulty concluding that ownership of income streams can be stripped from the records that evidence them.

From Commercial Money Ctr., Inc., 350 B.R. 465, 473-79 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2006), rev'g, 56 U.C.C. Rep. Serv. (West) 54 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2005). "This language on its face defines chattel paper to mean the records that "evidence" certain things, including monetary obligations. Payment streams stripped from the underlying leases are not records that evidence monetary obligations they are monetary obligations. Therefore, we agree with NetBank that the payment streams are not chattel paper."

- 8. Of the three transferable linked parts of every Mortgage Loan, the Obligation, the Note and the Mortgage, two of those transferable parts are tangible instruments, the Note and the Mortgage. The Note is a negotiable instrument that evidences the Obligation. The Mortgage, seen as a Real Property Lien, is a contract listing alternatives for collecting payment due under the Obligation evidenced by the Note.
- 9. Each Note associated with a Mortgage Loan is created as a negotiable instrument to allow for future sale. When a Note is treated as a negotiable instrument, falling under the governance of UCC article 3, ownership of the Note shall be transferred by means of special indorsement or by indorsing in blank to create a bearer Note. However, possession of the Note must not be confused with ownership of the Note, where a possessor may not be more than a custodian or agent of an owner.

 Additionally, a valid subsequent owner, while negotiating ownership of a Note, must exercise care so as to avoid loss of Secured Party status in the negotiation of a Note. (Secured Party status is of serious concern for the Bankruptcy Courts) An alleged subsequent owner of the Note failing to permanently perfect (filing of record as required by law) ownership of the Mortgage (Security) associated with a Note into their name, while negotiating Ownership of a Note, would render a Secured Note being an Unsecured Note. Ownership of Unsecured Note, no longer secured by ownership of a Real Property Lien, separates the Obligation from the Conditions to enforce the Power of Sale. Where an alleged subsequent owner of a negotiable instrument lacks indorsement for owner/holder status, the UCC allows for such party to obtain indorsements to allow the subsequent party to be entitled to enforcement rights upon the negotiable instrument. However, the UCC has no retroactive means to re-establish an unsecured negotiable instrument back into a secured negotiable Instrument. Secured status and Unsecured status is dependent upon the securing security being in compliance with local laws of jurisdiction.

- 10. A Note transferred in interstate commerce is a negotiable instrument and therefore falls under the governance of UCC Article 3. Any party who possesses a valid ownership interest in a Note can only transfer that interest by way of negotiation through indorsement. However, because real estate ownership rights are concerned, perfection of transfer of the Mortgage, a contract involving real estate, securing the Note, falls within governance of Laws of Jurisdiction where the real property resides. Even, within its own language, the Mortgage contains notice that Federal Statutes and/or the Laws of Local Jurisdiction are governing law, therefore attempts to apply UCC Article 9 as governing the transfer of the Mortgage would be misplaced. Subsequently, any party who possesses a valid beneficial interest in a Mortgage can only transfer that interest by way of properly recorded assignment of that interest. Transfer of beneficial interest in a Mortgage, without properly recorded assignment, would place anyone doing so in jeopardy of violating Federal Statutes and/or Local Laws of the applicable Jurisdiction and potentially the common law Statutes of Fraud.
- 11. A properly recorded assignment of the Mortgage memorializes the Note's negotiation, but does not cause the Note's transfer. For a Note to change ownership and remain secured through the Mortgage each and every transfer of the Note, by indorsement or negotiation, must be performed with a parallel assignment of the Mortgage properly filed in the local County Record. If a Note is indorsed and negotiated to one party while the Mortgage is assigned to another party, a separation

456

7

9

10

13

14

12

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

23

22

2425

26

27 28 between the Ownership of the Note evidencing the Obligation and the Ownership of the Conditions which secure the Obligation to Real Property occurs.

- For a Party with ownership of a Note to be a Holder in Due Course with the rights and power 12. of foreclosure, the "Power of Sale", the Note must remain secured to Real Property. When a separation of Ownership of the Obligation and the Ownership of Conditions which secure the Obligation occurs by failing to follow mandated law, the Mortgage Loan (Security securing) is no longer secured by Real Property. When the Mortgage Loan is no longer secured by Real Property, there can be no Holder in Due Course of a Secured Note. Such Holder of the Note has lost the right to seek alternate payment through the use of a now invalid security instrument. Therefore, any Party seeking to bring a claim, against real estate title in a foreclosure, as Holder in Due Course of a Secured Mortgage Loan, must demonstrate an unbroken chain of properly recorded assignments of the Mortgage and a parallel unbroken chain of completed Note indorsements. Making a claim of beneficial interest in a Mortgage Loan without an unbroken chain of properly recorded assignments of the Mortgage and a parallel unbroken chain of completed Note indorsements would place anyone doing so in jeopardy of violating Federal Statutes and/or Local Laws of Jurisdiction. Where such alternate collection method has been dissolved by failure to follow law, the owner of the Note does (did) have equitable remedy by seeking recovery of the debt by filing suit in a jurisdictional court of equity. The paradox, is, where such a holder has pledged a Mortgage Loan (Secured Package) as collateral, knowing that such was not a Secured Package, would present such a pledgor with unclean hands.
- 13. The Mortgage is a contract between the borrower (Payor) and the parties spelled out on the face of the document. A separation between Ownership of the Note and the Ownership of the Mortgage would be a violation of the terms of that contract. Under long existing contract law, if the terms of a contract are violated, affecting the conditions under which the Payor is obligated, without the properly evidenced consent of the Payor, that contract is void and cannot be returned to without the consent of the Payor.
- 14. It is an ancient and long held concept within United States Law, that ownership of the Note and ownership of the Mortgage can be separated, however, if ownership is separated, the Mortgage, because it can have no separate existence, can not survive and becomes a nullity.

In Carpenter v. Longan 16 Wall 271,83 U.S. 271, 274, 21 L.Ed. 313 (1872), the U.S. Supreme Court stated "The note and mortgage are inseparable; the former as essential, the latter as an incident. An assignment of the note carries the mortgage with it, while assignment of the latter alone is a nullity.... The mortgage can have no separate existence. When the note is paid the mortgage expires. It cannot survive for a moment the debt which the note represents. This dependent and incidental relation is the controlling consideration"

15. Sometimes a Mortgage Loan is sold into MBS Trust. A MBS Trust is governed by a PSA filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. When a Mortgage Loan is sold into MBS Trust all the well-established Real Estate and Contract Law explained above still applies. For a MBS Trust to be Holder in Due Course of a Secured Mortgage Loan, properly recorded assignments of the Mortgage, as well as completed parallel indorsements of the Note to match, are required not only by well-established Real Estate and Contract Law, but also by the PSA and or REMIC Master Trust Agreement which governs the MBS Trust in question.

The John and Jane Doe Mortgage Loan

The Doe Obligation had been sold

by New Century Mortgage Company on or before February 8, 2007.

- On 09/01/2012, I researched the online database at the request of John and Jane Doe whose property address is 1234 Any Street, Santa Cruz, NM 87532. John and Jane Doe had allegedly signed a Note in favor of New Century Mortgage Company on September 2, 2005 with the loan number 999999999. This loan was identified in C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1 with the ID number # 9999999999. The loan is being serviced by Litton Loan Servicing Inc. with the clarifying code and, or abbreviation on the Specialty Licensed Terminal of CBASS 2007-SP1.
- 17. Pursuant to a thorough research I have found the aforementioned Doe Mortgage Loan number in multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust. The Doe Obligation has been sold to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust where it remains a performing asset as of September 1, 2012.

18. It is impossible to ascertain to who owns what, as the income stream from the Doe Obligation is no longer owned in a unified manner as described by the Prospectus when discussing the Classes within the Trust Pool. Each class of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust owns a different partial interest in the Doe Obligation. Even though a Trust may show a Class within that Trust as being paid, this is a predetermined action by the Trust. It does not mean that the Doe Obligation has been paid. It is impossible to make that determination as the Doe Obligation no longer exists in its original form. Subsequently, the ownership of partial interest in the Doe Obligation can no longer be determined, nor can it be determined what or which partial interest in Doe Obligation has been paid nor what percentage of that partial interest in the Doe Obligation has been satisfied/settled. Even though there is some division of performance of the loan from class to class. If ownership the Doe Obligation exists in total within the Trust.

19. Securitization is the process of aggregating the Obligations from a large number of mortgage loans, into what is called a mortgage pool and then selling "shares" (called certificates) of ownership of partial interest of the Obligations to investors. The income stream from the Obligation that the John and Jane Doe 's mortgage payments produce, flows through fractionalized payments into many different classes to many different investors, of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust depending on which certificates of which class were purchased by which investor. My research shows that ownership of the Doe Obligation does appear in the schedules and agreements. The divided monthly loan payments paid by John and Jane Doe to Litton Loan Servicing Inc. most definitely flowed into multiple classes of the C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1 (herein also known as CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust).

- 20. The ownership of the Doe Obligation has been conveyed as a Transferable Record to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust. For ownership of the Doe Obligation not to have been stripped away from the ownership of the Doe Note by that conveyance, ownership of the Doe Note must have also been transferred to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust.
- 21. Even though the Doe Obligation is owned by multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, it can only be determined if the original Doe Note had been physically delivered to multiple

classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust by checking with the custodian of documents. Until then, there is no evidence multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust possessed in any manner the Doe Note before the closing date of February 8, 2007, as required by its own agreements.

- 22. The ownership of the Doe Obligation has been conveyed as a Transferable Record to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust. For the conditions of Doe Mortgage over the Doe Obligation not to have been stripped away by that conveyance, ownership of the Doe Mortgage must have also been transferred to multiple classes of the CBASS 2077-SP1 Trust.
- 23. The beneficial interest (ownership) of the Doe Mortgage has been recorded in the Official records of Santa Fe County Registry as being in the name of New Century Mortgage Company of the loan on September 2, 2005. However, it is clear that New Century Mortgage Company as recorded as the original lender on the Doe Mortgage sold all ownership interest, in the Doe Obligation to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust on or about February 8, 2007 the closing date of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust. Ownership of the Doe Obligation is held in multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, and the payments under the Doe Obligation are disbursed to the investors of CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust who hold certificates to the investment classes into which payments under the Doe Obligation are scheduled to flow. Therefore the transfer of beneficial interest in the Doe Mortgage by New Century Mortgage Company might be accomplished, but that beneficial interest is no longer attached to ownership of the Doe Obligation.

As Multiple Classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust Own the Doe Obligation Multiple Classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust are Required to have Ownership of the Doe Note and the Doe Mortgage

24. By multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust purchasing the Doe Obligation and doing with it whatever was done, multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust were exercising rights of ownership over the Doe Mortgage Loan and payment stream. By exercising rights of ownership over the Doe Mortgage Loan multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust made claims of ownership of all three parts of the Doe Mortgage Loan.

28 T

- 25. The Doe Obligation only exists through the tangible instruments creating it, the Doe Note and the Doe Mortgage. The sale of the ownership of the Doe Obligation to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, without striping away the ownership of the Doe Obligation from the ownership of the Doe Note, could only be accomplished with the accompanying negotiations of the Doe Note and the accompanying assignments of the Doe Mortgage to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust.
- 26. Multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust have made and continue to make claims of ownership of the Doe Obligation, and exercises those claims. To exercise claims of ownership of the Doe Obligation, assignments of the Doe Mortgage should have been accomplished. Multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust are acting as if assignments of the Doe Mortgage have been accomplished.
- 27. The assignment of the Doe Mortgage is a conveyance of an instrument concerning real property which must be recorded to be acted upon. United States Code considers that anyone certifying that a real estate instrument has been assigned when in fact it has not, is guilty of a felonious criminal act.

Title 18 USC Chapter 47 § 1021

Whoever, being an officer or other person authorized by any law of the United States to record a conveyance of real property or any other instrument which by such law may be recorded, knowingly certifies falsely that such conveyance or instrument has or has not been recorded, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

Multiple Classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust can not Claim Ownership of either

the Doe Note or the Doe Mortgage.

28. Multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust own the Doe Obligation. However the transfers of ownership of either of the two tangible parts of the security instrument that evidence the Doe Obligation from New Century Mortgage Company to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust are not memorialized in the Santa Fe County Record in a manner which observes United States Code.

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

28

27

29. Under the Consumer Credit Protection Act Title 15 USC Chapter 41 § 1641(g) any transfer of the Doe Mortgage to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust would be in violation of Federal Statute, if those transfers had not been recorded Santa Fe County Record within 30 days along with notification of John and Jane Doe that the transfers had occurred. As there are no recorded assignments of the Doe Mortgage from New Century Mortgage Company to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, within 30 days of the of February 8, 2007 closing date of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust either there has been a violation of Federal Law or multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, who are the owners of the Doe Obligation, are not the owners of the either the Doe Note or the Doe Mortgage.

Title 15 USC Chapter 41 § 1641(g)

(g) Notice of new creditor

(1) In general

In addition to other disclosures required by this subchapter, not later than 30 days after the date on which a mortgage loan is sold or otherwise transferred or assigned to a third party, the creditor that is the new owner or assignee of the debt shall notify the borrower in writing of such transfer, including—

- (A) the identity, address, telephone number of the new creditor;
- (B) the date of transfer;
- (C) how to reach an agent or party having authority to act on behalf of the new creditor:
- (D) the location of the place where transfer of ownership of the debt is recorded; and
- (E) any other relevant information regarding the new creditor.

30. Multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust are the owners of the Doe Obligation, however, according to New Mexico State Law, multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust can only be entitled to enforce the Doe Mortgage if multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust were transferred ownership of the Doe Mortgage by way of assignments pursuant to

NMSA 1978 § 14-9-1. Instruments affecting real estate; recording

All deeds, mortgages, leases of an initial term plus option terms in excess of five years, or memoranda of the material terms of such leases, assignments or amendments to such leases, leasehold mortgages, United States patents and other writings affecting the title to real estate shall be recorded in the office of the county clerk of the county or counties in which the real estate affected thereby is situated. Leases of any term or memoranda of the material terms thereof, assignments or amendments thereto may be recorded in the manner provided in this section. As used in this section, "memoranda of the material terms of a lease" means a memorandum

containing the names and mailing addresses of all lessors, lessees or assignees; if known, a description of the real property subject to the lease; and the terms of the lease, including the initial term and the term or terms of all renewal options, if any.(emphasis added)

NMSA. 1978, § 14-9-3 Unrecorded instruments; effect

No deed, mortgage or other instrument in writing not recorded in accordance with Section 14-9-1 NMSA 1978 shall affect the title or rights to, in any real estate, of any purchaser, mortgagee in good faith or judgment lien creditor, without knowledge of the existence of such unrecorded instruments. Possession alone based on an unrecorded executory real estate contract shall not be construed against any subsequent purchaser, mortgagee in good faith or judgment lien creditor either to impute knowledge of or to impose the duty to inquire about the possession or the provisions of the instruments. (emphasis added)

- 31. The Doe Mortgage must have been duly assigned to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust for multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust to be entitled to enforce the Doe Mortgage.
- 32. As explained previously in ¶5 thru ¶12 assignments of the Doe Mortgage must be accompanied by parallel endorsements of the Doe Note for the Doe Mortgage Loan to remain secured by the Doe Property. Because endorsements are very often undated and because a plaintiff must prove that it had standing at the inception of a case, Marianna & B.R. Co. v. Maund, 56 So. 670, 672 (Fla. 1911), the assignment will be determinative of, or at least evidence that would support or contradict, a plaintiff's claim of standing.
- 33. Importantly, mere presentment of the Doe Note (even if shown to be the original) is not in itself proof of an equitable transfer of the Doe Note. This demonstration of possession may be sufficient to enforce the Doe Note, but carries no indicia of ownership or intent to transfer. The UCC consecrated a preference in commercial transactions for simple possession of endorsed instruments over proof of actual ownership, an exception in the law that was intended to foster free trade of commercial paper.
- 34. The concept that a Note holder, even one who is not legitimate, may nevertheless bring an action on the Doe Note is entrenched in commercial law and commonly summarized by the axiom "even a thief may enforce a note." However, the taking of the Doe Home by foreclosure is an

5

6

7

10

9

12

11

13 14

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

equitable remedy and equity does not allow a "thief" to use a stolen Doe Note to foreclose through the Doe Mortgage lien.

- 35. For all three parts of the Doe Loan as a whole to have been transferred into the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust there is a chain of entities through which the Doe Mortgage must be assigned and the Doe Note indorsed. This chain of transfer as required in the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust PSA is to have begun with a recorded assignment of the Doe Mortgage and an indorsement of the Doe Note from the Lender (New Century Mortgage Company) to the Seller (Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC,). Once the Seller (Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC,) had taken complete ownership, then a recorded assignment of the Doe Mortgage and an indorsement of the Doe Note from the Seller (Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC,) to the Depositor (C-BASS ABS LLC) was to have occurred. After the Depositor (C-BASS ABS LLC) had taken complete ownership, a recorded assignment of the Doe Mortgage and an indorsement of the Doe Note from the Depositor (C-BASS ABS LLC) to the Trustee (LaSalle Bank National Association) was next to have occurred. Finally, once the Trustee (LaSalle Bank National Association) had taken complete ownership, a recorded assignment of the Doe Mortgage and an indorsement of the Doe Note from the Trustee (LaSalle Bank National Association) to the C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1 (herein also known as CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust) was to have occurred.
- 36. Moreover, these assignments were to all be recorded in the Official records of Santa Fe County Registry as per the PSA for the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust. To explain further with a simple example, Party A must contract and assign to Party B, and Party B must contract and assign to Party C, and Party C must contract and assign to Party D and so on. So a contract and an assignment from Party A to Party D is not allowable. Of course, all of these dealings must be recorded within the Official records of Santa Fe County Registry which date stamps each recording so as to prevent any "back-dating."
- 37. As explained previously, any electronic transfers of the Doe Mortgage that may have been executed without recording within the Official records of Santa Fe County Registry are void under Uniform Electronic Transactions Act (UETA) USC § 15-96-1-7003.

(a) Excepted requirements

The provisions of section 7001 of this title shall not apply to a contract or other record to the extent it is governed by—

- (3) the Uniform Commercial Code, as in effect in any State, other than sections 1–107 and 1–206 and Articles 2 and 2A
- 38. The Doe Note specifically states that it is secured by a Mortgage, dated the same day, and the Doe Mortgage refers to the Doe Note, and incorporates the Doe Note into its terms and conditions.
- 39. The written agreement that created the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust is a PSA, dated PSA Date and is a matter of public record, available on the website of the Securities Exchange Commission. The CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust is also described in a "Prospectus Supplement," also available on the SEC website. The CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust by its terms set a "CLOSING DATE" of on or about February 8, 2007. The Doe Note in this case did not became CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust property in compliance with the requirement set forth in the PSA. The CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust agreement is filed under oath with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The acquisition of the assets of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust and PSA are governed under the laws of the New York.
- 40. The PSA is the document that governs this trust. The CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust operates in the state of New York, and New York law requires strict compliance and adherence to the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust documents. Any action by the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust in contravention to the CBASS 2007-SP1 PSA is void under New York Trust Law.

C-BASS 2007-SP1 PSA Section 11.04 Governing Law; Jurisdiction.

This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York, and the obligations, rights and remedies of the parties hereunder shall be determined in accordance with such laws (without regard to principles of conflicts of law other than Section 5-1401 of the New York General Obligations Law which shall govern). With respect to any claim arising out of this Agreement, each party irrevocably submits to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the State of New York and the United States District Court located in the Borough of Manhattan in The City of New York, and each party irrevocably waives any objection which it may have at any time to the laying of venue of any suit, action or proceeding arising out of or relating hereto brought in any such courts, irrevocably waives any claim that any such suit, action or proceeding brought in any such court has been brought in any inconvenient forum and further irrevocably waives the right to object, with respect to such claim, suit, action or proceeding brought in any such court, that such court does

 New York Trust Law Chapter 17-B 7 7-2.4 Act of trustee in contravention of trust

If the trust is expressed in the instrument creating the estate of the trustee, every sale, conveyance or other act of the trustee in contravention of the trust, except as

authorized by this article and by any other provision of law, is void.

by any lawful means.(emphasis added)

41. Ownership or possession by the New Century Mortgage Company or its agents, of a Note evidencing an Obligation sold to CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust is a violation of the PSA. Additionally, if the Doe Mortgage was transferred to the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust as required by the PSA, then there is no way that New Century Mortgage Company or it's Agents can claim any beneficial interest in the Doe Mortgage to assign.

not have jurisdiction over such party, provided that service of process has been made

- 42. According to the PSA for the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, the transfer and sale of all Beneficial Interest of the Doe Mortgage to CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust should have been done on or before the closing date of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust which was February 8, 2007. These requirements from the PSA also mean the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust is unable to have any other assets put into the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust after the closing date.
- 43. The PSA for the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, holds any conveyance of instrument into the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust subject to the specific procedures explained above and in further paragraphs. Therefore, the conveyance of the Doe Note and Mortgage into the CBASS 2007-SP1, cannot be true unless compliance with the PSA specific procedures of conveyance is also proved to be true. The conveyance of the Doe Note and Mortgage into the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust lacks proof of execution of these specific procedures. Then, as proof of PSA compliant conveyance of the Doe Note and Mortgage into the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust is lacking, and can not now be made to exist, CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, can not claim have taken the Doe Note and Mortgage as a secured instrument into its collateral pool.
- 44. The Doe Mortgage contains notice to the Borrowers that the Doe Note or a partial interest in the Doe Note may be sold; however, a sale of a "partial interest" in the Doe Note strips ownership of the Doe Obligation from ownership of the Doe Note, leaving the Doe Note without an obligation to evidence and the Doe Mortgage without an obligation to hold conditions over.

From the Doe Mortgage

"20. Sale of Note; Change of Servicer; Notice of Grievance.

The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Servicer") that collects Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law..."

The document purporting to be an

"Assignment Name"

is Invalid as an Assignment Name

Black's Law Dictionary defines the term valid as "having legal strength or force, executed with proper formalities, incapable of being rightfully overthrown or sent aside... Founded on trust of fact; capable of being justified; supported, or defended; not weak or defective... of binding force; legally sufficient or efficacious; authorized by law... as distinguished from that which exists or took place in fact or appearance, but has not the requisites to enable it to be recognized and enforced by law." (See Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, 1990, page 1550)

- 45. There is a document purporting to be a "Assignment of Mortgage" dated September 15, 2006 recorded January 20, 2010 Santa Fe County, NM 1588816 with an assigner New Century Mortgage Corporation with an assignee LaSalle Bank National Association as Trustee for the C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1, signed by Diana Noriega Acting Vice President, notarized by Marisa G. Carrasco California Notary.
- 46. First and most importantly the original lender, New Century Mortgage Company gave up all ownership of the Doe Obligation on or before February 8, 2007 to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust. Once New Century Mortgage Company had given up the ownership of the Doe Obligation, the ownership of the Doe Obligation was stripped away from the ownership of the Doe Note and the ownership of the Doe Mortgage. New Century Mortgage Company could transfer beneficial interest in the Doe Note or Mortgage; however, that beneficial interest would not include ownership of the Doe Obligation.

- 47. The consequences of the ownership of the Doe Obligation being stripped away from the beneficial interests of the Doe Note and Mortgage means the Doe Note is without an Obligation to evidence and the Doe Mortgage is without an Obligation to enforce conditions against.
- 48. New Century Mortgage Company can assign beneficial interest in the Doe Mortgage, albeit with no ownership of the Doe Obligation, to whomever they please. In order for this document purporting to be an "Assignment Name" to be valid as an Assignment Name however, it would have to determined if a transfer could be made to the assignee. I will explain how transfer to the assignee named could not have been accomplished by this document purporting to be an "Assignment Name".
- 49. The assignee named by the document purporting to be an "Assignment Name" is LaSalle Bank National Association as Trustee for the C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1.
- 50. In order to exist the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust agreed to operate under the CBASS 2007-SP1 PSA and all applicable Law. As previously explained in ¶35 in order to for the Doe Mortgage Loan to be transferred to the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust a chain of negotiations needed to occur. A direct transfer from the original lender, New Century Mortgage Company, to the LaSalle Bank National Association violates the terms and conditions under the CBASS 2007-SP1 PSA, under New York Trust Law governing the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, and is void
- 51. Further this document purporting to be an "Assignment Name" is not timely to properly transfer the Doe Note and Mortgage to the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust where is has been shown to be a performing asset.

From the Prospectus Supplement (To Prospectus dated PSA Date) for the CBASS 2007-SP1;

C-BASS 2007-SP1 PSA page 80

The Servicer shall cause the Assignments of Mortgage which were delivered in blank to be completed and shall cause all Assignments referred to in Section 2.01(a)(iii) and (viii) hereof and, to the extent necessary, in Section 2.01(a)(iv) and (vii) hereof to be recorded. The Servicer shall be required to deliver such assignments and financing statements for recording within 30 days of the Closing Date. The Servicer shall furnish the Custodian, with a copy of each Assignment of Mortgage. In the event that any such Assignment is lost or returned unrecorded because of a defect therein, the

Servicer shall promptly have a substitute Assignment prepared or have such defect cured, as the case may be, and thereafter cause each such Assignment to be duly recorded.(emphasis added)

- The closing date for the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust was <u>February 8, 2007</u>. What this means is that the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust is unable to have any other assets put into the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust after <u>February 8, 2007</u> closing date.
- 53. In view of the foregoing, all assignments executed after the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust's closing date are void for the reason that all assignments into the Trust after February 8, 2007 violate the express terms of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust PSA. All assignments of Mortgages/Deeds of Trust and or indorsements of notes executed after the CBASS 2007-SP1 closing date are void.
- 54. The Prospectus Supplement for the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust provides that any attempted or purported transfer in violation of these transfer restrictions will be null and void and will vest no rights in any purported transferee. Any transferor or agent to whom the Trustee provides information as to any applicable tax imposed on such transferor or agent may be required to bear the cost of computing or providing such information.
- There are enormous tax consequences, if the document purporting to be an "Assignment Name" filed in the Official Records of Santa Fe County would be authentic, in that this trust has elected to be a REMIC Trust. According to the Prospectus, under the heading "Federal Income Tax Consequences", multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust, that the Doe Obligation is owned by, elected to be treated as a REMIC, which provides for pass-through tax treatment of the income generated by the Trust assets.
- 56. Internal Revenue Code Section 860 regulates the activities and requirements of a REMIC Trust.

According to 26 CFR§ 1.860D-1(c) (2)

Identification of assets. The formation of the REMIC does not occur until (i) The sponsor identifies the assets of the REMIC, such as through execution an indenture with respect to the asset; and (ii) The REMIC issues the regular and residual interests in the REMIC.

6

9

23

- 57. In other words, the REMIC is not officially formed until the Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC, the seller/sponsor of the MBS Trust Name Trust identifies and transfers all the specific assets (the specific loans) of the REMIC.
- 58. The PSA for the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust specifically identifies a closing date which is the last day that an asset (loan) can be "identified for inclusion" in the Trust/REMIC. The closing date also serves as the Startup Day for the REMIC. According to Internal Revenue code Section, "All of a REMIC's loans must be acquired on the startup day of the REMIC or within three months thereafter".

C-BASS 2007-SP1 PSA page 169

Section 9.02 Prohibited Transactions and Activities.

Neither the Seller, the Depositor, the Servicer nor the Trustee shall sell, dispose of, or substitute for any of the Mortgage Loans, except in a disposition pursuant to (i) the foreclosure of a Mortgage Loan, (ii) the bankruptcy of the Trust Fund, (iii) the termination of any REMIC created hereunder pursuant to Article X of this Agreement, (iv) a substitution pursuant to Article II of this Agreement or (v) a repurchase of Mortgage Loans pursuant to Article II of this Agreement, nor acquire any assets for any REMIC, nor sell or dispose of any investments in the Distribution Account for gain, nor accept any contributions to either REMIC after the Closing Date, unless it has received an Opinion of Counsel (at the expense of the party causing such sale, disposition, or substitution) that such disposition, acquisition, substitution, or acceptance will not (a) affect adversely the status of any REMIC created hereunder as a REMIC or of the interests therein other than the Residual Certificates as the regular interests therein, (b) affect the distribution of interest or principal on the Certificates, (c) result in the encumbrance of the assets transferred or assigned to the Trust Fund (except pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement) or (d) cause any REMIC created hereunder to be subject to a tax on prohibited transactions or prohibited contributions pursuant to the REMIC Provisions.(emphasis added)

New Century Mortgage Company Has No Claim to Ownership of the Doe Note

59. The Doe Note has been indorsed by New Century Mortgage Company the original lender. The indorsement states "Pay to the Order of without Recourse". This constitutes a negotiation under UCC Article 3 concerning negotiable instruments. Although no payee is yet named, clearly New Century Mortgage Company has released all interest in the Doe Note.

UCC 7-501 Form of negotiation and requirements of due negotiation

- (a) The following rules apply to a negotiable tangible document of title:
- (1) if the document's original terms run to the order of a named person, the document is negotiated by the named person's indorsement and delivery. After the named person's indorsement in blank or to bearer, any person may negotiate the document by delivery alone; (emphasis added)
- 60. New Century Mortgage Company transferred its ownership of the Doe Obligation to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust and transferred its ownership of the Doe Note. Ownership of the Doe Obligation was transferred to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust and ownership of the Doe Note traveled on without it.

The Terms of the Doe Mortgage have been Violated and the Doe Mortgage is Unenforceable

- 61. New Century Mortgage Company has released all interest in the Doe Note to an as yet unnamed payee. The Doe Mortgage as a contract can only enforce its contractual terms against the obligation evidenced by the Doe Note.
- 62. The Doe Mortgage is governed by New Mexico Law. New Mexico Law and Federal Law require properly recordation of assignment to transfer ownership of the Doe Mortgage.

From the Doe Mortgage;

- 16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by Federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might be explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed to be a prohibition against agreement by contract.
- 63. It has been explained earlier, how it is not possible for ownership of the Doe Mortgage to have been assigned to LaSalle Bank National Association as Trustee for the C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1.
- 64. There is an assignment of the Doe Mortgage recorded in the Santa Fe County Record, with Doe releasing ownership of the Doe Mortgage intending that transfer to be to LaSalle Bank National

Association as Trustee for the C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1. However, Doe released, through indorsement, ownership of the Doe Note, evidencing the obligation, to however wishes to fill in the payee line. LaSalle Bank National Association as Trustee for the C-BASS Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1, may attempt to claim ownership of the Doe Mortgage but that ownership would have nothing to enforce the Doe Mortgage contractual terms against. The Doe Mortgage is an unenforceable contract.

- 65. Ownership of the Doe Mortgage is no longer with New Century Mortgage Company, yet no one else has any authority to enforce its terms, while the Doe Note is waiting for someone to claim ownership. The Doe Mortgage is an unenforceable contract, no longer being tied to an obligation to enforce its contractual terms over.
- 66. Under long existing contract law, if the terms of a contract are violated, affecting the conditions under which the Payor is obligated, without the properly evidenced consent of the Payor, that contract is void and cannot be returned to without the consent of the Payor. Even if ownership of the Doe Note and the Doe Mortgage, could be rejoined, the Doe Mortgage, as a now unenforceable contract, no longer being tied to an obligation to enforce its contractual terms over, cannot be returned to being an enforceable contract without Client Full Name's consent.

Ownership of the Doe Obligation Can Not be Rejoined to Ownership of the Doe Note or the Doe Mortgage

- 67. Multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust have ownership of the Doe Obligation. Multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust have yet to all and each be named as payee on the Doe Note and do not now have ownership of the Doe Note. For multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust to gain ownership of the Doe Note, multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust would have to all and each be named payee.
- 68. In oral arguments in a August 15, 2012 Hearing case# D-101-CV-201200055 the Attorney representing Bank of America NA as successor by merger to LaSalle Bank NA as Trustee for the C-Bass Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1 declared that the Doe Note had

not been properly negotiated to LaSalle Bank NA as Trustee for the C-Bass Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2007-SP1 and that Bank of America NA as Trustee for CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust in succession by merger was only holder of the Doe Note by possession.

- 69. CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust its classes, its officers and its agents are prohibited from accepting any assets on behalf of the Trust after February 8, 2007. CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust its classes, its officers its and agents can longer accept ownership of the Doe Note. Ownership of the Doe Note and ownership of the Doe Obligation will remain separate.
- 70. Because ownership of the Doe Note was separated from ownership of the Doe Obligation, and will remain separate the Doe Mortgage, is left with no way to enforce its conditions over the obligation which should be evidenced by the Doe Note, making the Doe Mortgage an unenforceable contract.

With Ownership of the Doe Obligation Stripped Away and No Way to Enforce the Conditions Under the Doe Mortgage, the Doe Loan is a Nullity

- 71. The ownership Doe Obligation was separated from the ownership of the Doe Note and the ownership of the Doe Mortgage, leaving the Doe Note no Obligation to evidence and Doe Mortgage no Obligation to enforce conditions over.
- 72. The limited beneficial interest New Century Mortgage Company retained in the Doe Mortgage Loan after selling the Doe Obligation to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust as of February 8, 2007, does not include ownership of the Doe Obligation. No acceptable assignments of the limited beneficial interest in the Doe Mortgage to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust has been recorded into the Santa Fe County Recorder's Office, nor should there be, as such a lawful intangible assignment would fall under the governance of UCC 9. There is no evidence of the proper negotiations of the limited beneficial interest in the Doe Note to multiple classes of the CBASS 2007-SP1 Trust. With no properly recorded owner of the Doe Mortgage, with corroborating ownership of the Doe Obligation, there is no one to enforce the conditions that would have been over